Effects of Dexamethasone on Post-dural Puncture Headache in Patients Undergoing Orthopedic Surgery
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Abstract:
Background: The effect of Dexamethasone on Post-Dural Puncture Headache (PDPH) after spinal anesthesia has not been well elucidated. The aim of the current study was to evaluate the effect of prophylactic intravenous dexamethasone on the incidence and severity of PDPH in patients undergoing orthopedic surgery.

Methods: This randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial was carried out in patients undergoing orthopedic surgery. The subjects were randomly divided into a placebo (n=140) and a dexamethasone (n=140) group. During the surgery, the control group participants were injected 2cc of distilled water, and the dexamethasone group participants were injected 2cc (8mg) of dexamethasone as an infusion in the veins. The incidences of PDPH on the first, third and seventh postoperative days were studied. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 22.

Results: A total of 280 patients with a mean age of 32.7 ± 11.0 years were studied. The incidence of PDPH on the first day of post-operative period was lower in the dexamethasone group than the control group (21 vs. 34, P<0.05). This difference was disappeared on days 3 and 7. Nausea or vomiting occurred less in the dexamethasone group (9 vs. 26, P<0.05). However, no statistically significant association was found between study groups and the incidence of back pain (P>0.05).

Conclusion: Although the frequency of PDPH was less in patients receiving dexamethasone, the incidence increased days after the operation and reached the level of the placebo group. We do not recommend prophylactic intravenous dexamethasone for the prevention of PDPH.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Spinal anesthesia is one of the common methods used in obstetrics and gynecology surgeries, urologic surgeries, orthopedic surgeries, etc. Given the facility existing inspinal anesthesia, it is considered as one of the most commonly used nerve blocks. By definition, spinal anesthesia is a form of regional anesthesia involving the injection of a local anesthetic into the subarachnoid space [1]. The complications of this method include neurological complications, low blood pressure, Bradycardia and Asystole, Post-Dural-Puncture...
Dexamethasone is one of the strongest steroid drugs from the industrial glucocorticoid category that is recognized as an anti-inflammatory drug weakening the immune system [12].

The effects of hydrocortisone in the treatment of post-puncture headaches have been investigated in several studies [13, 14]. The effects of dexamethasone have also been studied. However, due to the contradictory results of these studies [15, 16] and on the other hand, the availability and ease of administration and low cost of using dexamethasone in the treatment PDPH and also other studies evaluate the effect of Dexamethazone on PDPH in pregnant women after caesarian section and as far as we know, no such study has been performed on orthopedic patients so, we decided to perform this study, therefore, the present research aims at studying the effect of intravenous Dexamethasone on Post-Dural-Puncture Headache (PDPH) in orthopedic patients of Jiroft Imam Khomeini Hospital from 2015 to 2016.

2. METHODS

The present study is a double-blind clinical trial. The statistical population of the present study includes all the patients referring to Jiroft Imam Khomeini Hospital, who underwent elective orthopedic surgery; 280 patients were selected using convenience sampling. The following patients were included in the present study: patients with informed consent; patients with no history of migraine, convulsion, and headache-related disease; patients with no underlying diseases; and patients who had not received narcotic drugs and sedatives. The patients with a history of migraine, convulsion, and headache-related disease as well as patients suffering from a special disease or those who had taken narcotic drugs and sedatives, were excluded from the present study. The patients were placed into two groups: the control group (using placebo), and the dexamethasone group (taking Dexamethasone). All the participants of the present study laid supine on the bed in the same conditions and Marcaaine anesthetics was injected using spinal needle No.24 in the lumbar area lower than the L2 vertebras and applying the median method in subarachnoid space. Then, during the surgery, the control group participants were injected 2cc of distilled water, and the dexamethasone group participants were injected 2cc (8mg) of Dexamethasone as an infusion in the veins. Then the patients were monitored during the operation, in the recovery room, and during their hospital stay with respect to headache. The headache severities of the patients studied were recorded in specific times: 24 hours after the surgery, three days after the surgery, and seven days after the surgery. The patients’ headache responses were recorded as lack of headache, minor headache, average headache, and severe headache. The collected data was analyzed using SPSS, version 18. In addition, this clinical trial was registered at the Iranian Registry of Clinical trials database (http://www.irct.ir) with IRCT20200412047041N1.

3. RESULTS

In the present study, 280 patients were studied. Their average age was 32.7±11 years old; ranging from 18 to 65. The gender distribution of this study was as follows: 214 men (86.4%) and 66 women (13.6%). From among all the individuals studied, 160 participants (57.1%) had no post-spinal anesthesia headache, back pain, nausea, and vomiting. However, 120 participants (42.9%) showed at least one of these complications. Additional information about the patients participated in this study is given in (Table 1).

The most frequent was related to leg and knee surgery with 126 (47.54%) and the least surgery was related to femoral and hip with 50 (18.86%) and also ankle and foot surgery was 89 (33.58%).

With regard to age and gender distribution, no significant difference was observed between the two groups studies (P>0.05) (Table 2).

Nausea, vomiting, and headache on the first day after surgery in the dexamethasone group (6.4%) were significantly lower than in the control group (18.6). However, there was no statistically significant difference between the three variables of back pain, headache three days after surgery and headache seven days after surgery in both control and dexamethasone groups (P>0.05). (Table 3)
Table 1. Frequency distribution, the complications of spinal anesthesia in the participants of the present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>-</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea and Vomiting</td>
<td>Lack</td>
<td>Existence</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Existence</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back ache</td>
<td>Lack</td>
<td>Existence</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>86.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Existence</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache First Day</td>
<td>Lack</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>80.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache Second Day</td>
<td>Lack</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache Seventh Day</td>
<td>Lack</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Fischer's Exact Test

Table 2. Distribution of gender and age in study groups (n=280).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dexamethasone</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male: female)</td>
<td>112: 28</td>
<td>102: 38</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in Year (mean±Sd)</td>
<td>31.5± 10.4</td>
<td>33.9± 11.5</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| a. Fischer’s Exact Test  b. Independent sample t-test

Table 3. Distribution of frequency and percentage frequency of headache on the seventh day after surgery according to study groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dexamethasone N (%)</th>
<th>Control N (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea and Vomiting</td>
<td>9 (6.4)</td>
<td>26 (18.6)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back Pains</td>
<td>17 (12.1)</td>
<td>20 (14.3)</td>
<td>0.362*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache First Day</td>
<td>21 (15)</td>
<td>34 (24.3)</td>
<td>0.035*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache Second Day</td>
<td>35 (25)</td>
<td>34 (24.3)</td>
<td>0.500*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache Seventh Day</td>
<td>2 (1.4)</td>
<td>4 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.342*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Fischer’s Exact Test

4. DISCUSSION

Spinal anesthesia is a safe and efficient anesthetic method used in obstetrics and gynecology and orthopedic surgeries [17]. Post-Dural-Puncture Headache as one of the common complications of spinal anesthesia is recognized with the vague and self-limiting beating headaches [6]. Due to the developed medical techniques, the incidence of PDPH has greatly reduced. With respect to the incidence rate of post-spinal anesthesia headache, the previous studies have reported different percentages ranging from 0.2 to 24 percent [18, 19]. Moreover, the positive effects of Dexamethasone on the periodontal ligament stem cells have been confirmed in other studies [20]. In the present study, the effect of intravenous Dexamethasone on post-spinal anesthesia headache was studied on the orthopedic patients of Jiroft Imam Khomeini Hospitals from 2015 to 2016. In some studies, the incidence rate of the post-surgical PDPH has been reported for one-third of the patients [21]. In the present study, the incidence of PDPH was reported to be 24.3 for the patients who did not undergo any intervention; given the findings of the previous studies, its prevalence is quite high. In the present study, the average age of the patients experiencing PDPH was significantly lower than those who did not experience any post-surgical headache. According to some of the previous studies, such as the one conducted by Leibold et al., 1993, PDPH is commonly prevalent among the young adults especially those with the average range of 18-30 [22]. In some of the studies, young women and especially pregnant women were the most likely individuals suffering from PDPH [23]. In the study conducted by Imarengiaye et al., 2006, conducted on 119 spinal anesthesia patients, the incidence rate of PDPH was reported to be 7.22 percent [24]. In the present study, the prevalence of the first day headache among the participants receiving Dexamethasone was significantly 9% lower than that of the control group that was 24 percent. However, on the third and seven days, no significant difference was observed between the two groups with respect to the headache they experienced. The number of patients reported to have headaches on the third day in the group receiving Dexamethasone increased, and it equaled the number of headache suffering patients who received placebo. This headache reduced to a great deal on the seventh day; in both groups, a number of patients reported the headache. The findings of the present study indicated that PDPH is not a severe complication for spinal anesthesia, and Dexamethasone does not have a remarkable effect on the recovery of the patients’ PDPH; this is consistent with the findings of some of the previous studies [25 - 27]. In the study conducted by Manuchehrian et al., 2011, it is claimed that prescribing 8mg of intravenous Dexamethasone as prophylactic can remarkably reduce the incidence rate of post-spinal anesthesia headache in women who had undergone elective caesarean section [28]. However, in the study conducted by Motaghi et al., 2011 on the women candidate for elective caesarean section, the
findings indicated that prescribing 8mg of prophylactic Dexamethasone does not have any effect on the incidence rate of the post-spinal anesthesia headache [29]. In the study conducted by Doroudian et al., 2010 [30], conducted on orthopedic patients, the researchers indicated that there was no significant statistical difference between the Dexamethasone group and the placebo group with respect to PDPH incidence. However, the severity of headache was reported to be lower through prescribing intravenous prophylactic Dexamethasone. In the study conducted by Hamzei et al., 2010, through conducting a similar study on the women candidate for caesarean section, they resulted that intravenous Dexamethasone reduces the incidence of PDPH in the first 24 hours and the first week following the spinal anesthesia [26]. In the study conducted by Yousefslahi et al., 2012, it was observed that prophylactic treatment by 8mg of Dexamethasone not only increases the severity and incidence rate of PDPH, but it also does not have any effect on reduced nausea and vomiting of para-caesarean section surgery [25]. These researchers have recognized prophylactic Dexamethasone as a significant risk factor for creating PDPH. In fact, unlike other studies, the findings of the present study indicated that Dexamethasone affects the reduction of nausea and vomiting of post-spinal anesthesia.

CONCLUSION

Given the findings of the present study, it seems that the analgesic effect of Dexamethasone in the first 24 hours will lead to the reduction of minor headaches in the patients who had undergone orthopedic surgery through the spinal anesthesia method. However, it does not affect the incidence of more severe headaches. In fact, prescribing 8 mg of prophylactic Dexamethasone after spinal anesthesia does not have a preventive effect on the incidence of PDPH. Reduction of nausea/vomiting and HA in the dexamethasone group is a significant finding, however, that single dose dexamethasone does not prevent later onset, and recommended rather than dismissing the dexamethasone, should consider investigating the efficacy of the repetitive dosing in the future studies.
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